

Simplant[®] – accuracy with guided implant surgery

What is Simplant?

- The most used software system for 3D planning of implant placement in clinical studies¹
- Individualized 3D solution covering all steps from implant planning to final prosthetic delivery
- Custom made Simplant Guides connect the digital plan with the surgical intervention
- Compatible with most implant systems, including Ankylos, Astra Tech Implant System and Xive

Confident implant placement

- Higher accuracy for implant placement with Simplant Guide compared to non-guided surgery, shown in both clinical²⁻⁵ and experimental studies^{6,7} (with one exception⁸)
- Published data indicate higher accuracy in anterior positions², in the mandible⁷, in thin mucosa (e.g. non-smokers)^{9,10}, in dense bone¹¹ and for mucosa supported guides^{12,13}
- Higher accuracy has been presented when optimizing tolerances and length of the sleeves in the guide¹⁴⁻²⁷, when using shorter implants^{18,19} and when anchoring the guide rigidly to the bone^{11,12}

Twenty-two studies (15 clinical^{2,4,10,12,13,18,20-28}, 7 experimental^{6,7,19,29-32}) have been evaluating accuracy between planned and actual implant positions when using Simplant Guide. No study reported any adverse events or risks when using the guides. Equivalent or better performance for Simplant Guide, than competitors, are reported in clinical^{12,20,33,34} and experimental studies^{17,19,30-32}.

Conclusion

The published literature clearly supports the use of Simplant Guide for predictable implant surgery.

- Higher accuracy compared to freehand surgery²⁻⁷
- Safe and predictable surgery can be employed in all locations in the mouth^{1,3,11,17-27}
- Minimally invasive treatment (e.g. flapless surgery) is possible^{15,33,35}
- Reduced chair time can be achieved³⁶
- Maintained patient's satisfaction at yearly follow-ups^{37,38}

References

1. Stokbro K, Aagaard E, Torkov P, Bell RB, Thygesen T. Virtual planning in orthognathic surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2014;43(8):957-65. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
2. Vercruyssen M, Cox C, Coucke W, et al. A randomized clinical trial comparing guided implant surgery (bone- or mucosa-supported) with mental navigation or the use of a pilot-drill template. *J Clin Periodontol* 2014;41(7):717-23. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
3. Vercruyssen M, Coucke W, Naert I, et al. Depth and lateral deviations in guided implant surgery: An rct comparing guided surgery with mental navigation or the use of a pilot-drill template. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2015;26(11):1315-20. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
4. Shen P, Zhao J, Fan L, et al. Accuracy evaluation of computer-designed surgical guide template in oral implantology. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2015;43(10):2189-94. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
5. Arisan V, Karabuda CZ, Mumcu E, Ozdemir T. Implant positioning errors in freehand and computer-aided placement methods: A single-blind clinical comparative study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2013;28(1):190-204. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
6. Park C, Raigrodski AJ, Rosen J, Spiekerman C, London RM. Accuracy of implant placement using precision surgical guides with varying occlusogingival heights: An in vitro study. *J Prosthet Dent* 2009;101(6):372-81. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
7. Lin YK, Yau HT, Wang IC, Zheng C, Chung KH. A novel dental implant guided surgery based on integration of surgical template and augmented reality. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2015;17(3):543-53. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
8. Edelmann AR, Hosseini B, Byrd WC, et al. Exploring effectiveness of computer-aided planning in implant positioning for a single immediate implant placement. *J Oral Implantol* 2016;42(3):233-9. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
9. D'Haese J, De Bruyn H. Effect of smoking habits on accuracy of implant placement using mucosally supported stereolithographic surgical guides. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2013;15(3):402-11. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
10. Cassetta M, Giansanti M, Di Mambro A, Stefanelli LV. Accuracy of positioning of implants inserted using a mucosa-supported stereolithographic surgical guide in the edentulous maxilla and mandible. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2014;29(5):1071-8. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
11. Cassetta M, Di Mambro A, Giansanti M, Stefanelli LV, Cavallini C. The intrinsic error of a stereolithographic surgical template in implant guided surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013;42(2):264-75. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
12. Arisan V, Karabuda ZC, Ozdemir T. Accuracy of two stereolithographic guide systems for computer-aided implant placement: A computed tomography-based clinical comparative study. *J Periodontol* 2010;81(1):43-51. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
13. Testori T, Robiony M, Parenti A, et al. Evaluation of accuracy and precision of a new guided surgery system: A multicenter clinical study. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2014;34(suppl):s59-s69. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
14. Cassetta M, Di Mambro A, Giansanti M, Stefanelli LV, Barbato E. Is it possible to improve the accuracy of implants inserted with a stereolithographic surgical guide by reducing the tolerance between mechanical components? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013;42(7):887-90. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
15. Cassetta M, Di Mambro A, Di Giorgio G, Stefanelli LV, Barbato E. The influence of the tolerance between mechanical components on the accuracy of implants inserted with a stereolithographic surgical guide: A retrospective clinical study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2015;17(3):580-8. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
16. Koop R, Vercruyssen M, Vermeulen K, Quirynen M. Tolerance within the sleeve inserts of different surgical guides for guided implant surgery. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2013;24(6):630-4. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
17. Schneider D, Schober F, Grohmann P, Hammerle CH, Jung RE. In-vitro evaluation of the tolerance of surgical instruments in templates for computer-assisted guided implantology produced by 3-d printing. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2015;26(3):320-5. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
18. D'haese J, Van De Velde T, Elaut L, De Bruyn H. A prospective study on the accuracy of mucosally supported stereolithographic surgical guides in fully edentulous maxillae. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2012;14(2):293-303. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
19. Van Assche N, Quirynen M. Tolerance within a surgical guide. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;21(4):455-58. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
20. Al-Harbi SA, Sun AY. Implant placement accuracy when using stereolithographic template as a surgical guide: Preliminary results. *Implant Dent* 2009;18(1):46-56. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
21. Arisan V, Karabuda ZC, Piskin B, Ozdemir T. Conventional multi-slice computed tomography (ct) and cone-beam ct (cbct) for computer-aided implant placement. Part ii: Reliability of mucosa-supported stereolithographic guides. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2013;15(6):907-17. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
22. Cassetta M, Stefanelli LV, Giansanti M, Di Mambro A, Calasso S. Accuracy of a computer-aided implant surgical technique. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2013;33(3):317-25. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
23. Cassetta M, Giansanti M, Di Mambro A, Calasso S, Barbato E. Accuracy of a computer-aided implant templates: A retrospective study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2013;15(3):448-59. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
24. Cassetta M, Di Mambro A, Giansanti M, Stefanelli LV, Barbato E. How does an error in positioning the template affect the accuracy of implants inserted using a single fixed mucosa-supported stereolithographic surgical guide? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2014;43(1):85-92. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
25. Stubinger S, Buitrago-Tellez C, Cantelmi G. Deviations between placed and planned implant positions: An accuracy pilot study of skeletally supported stereolithographic surgical templates. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;16(4):540-51. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
26. Valente F, Schiroli G, Sbrenna A. Accuracy of computer-aided oral implant surgery: A clinical and radiographic study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2009;24(2):234-42. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
27. Van de Wiele G, Teughels W, Vercruyssen M, et al. The accuracy of guided surgery via mucosa-supported stereolithographic surgical templates in the hands of surgeons with little experience. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2014;E-pub Oct 16, doi:10.1111/clr.12494. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
28. Vercruyssen M, Cox C, Naert I, et al. Accuracy and patient-centered outcome variables in guided implant surgery: A rct comparing immediate with delayed loading. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2016;27(4):427-32. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
29. Kang SH, Lee JW, Lim SH, Kim YH, Kim MK. Verification of the usability of a navigation method in dental implant surgery: In vitro comparison with the stereolithographic surgical guide template method. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2014;42(7):1530-5. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
30. Ruppin J, Popovic A, Strauss M, et al. Evaluation of the accuracy of three different computer-aided surgery systems in dental implantology: Optical tracking vs. Stereolithographic splint systems. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2008;19(7):709-16. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
31. Sarment DP, Sukovic P, Clinthorne N. Accuracy of implant placement with a stereolithographic surgical guide. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2003;18(4):571-7. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
32. Somogyi-Ganss E, Holmes HJ, Jokstad A. Accuracy of a novel prototype dynamic computer-assisted surgery system. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2015;26(8):882-90. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
33. Abboud M, Wahl G, Guirado JL, Orentlicher G. Application and success of two stereolithographic surgical guide systems for implant placement with immediate loading. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2012;27(3):634-43. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
34. Aboul-Hosn Centenero S, Hernandez-Alfaro F. 3d planning in orthognathic surgery: Cad/cam surgical splints and prediction of the soft and hard tissues results - our experience in 16 cases. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2012;40(2):162-8. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
35. Arisan V, Bolukbasi N, Oksuz L. Computer-assisted flapless implant placement reduces the incidence of surgery-related bacteremia. *Clin Oral Investig* 2013;17(9):1985-93. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
36. Arisan V, Karabuda CZ, Ozdemir T. Implant surgery using bone- and mucosa-supported stereolithographic guides in totally edentulous jaws: Surgical and post-operative outcomes of computer-aided vs. Standard techniques. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;21(9):980-88. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
37. Van de Velde T, Sennerby L, De Bruyn H. The clinical and radiographic outcome of implants placed in the posterior maxilla with a guided flapless approach and immediately restored with a provisional rehabilitation: A randomized clinical trial. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;21(11):1223-33. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
38. Vercruyssen M, van de Wiele G, Teughels W, et al. Implant- and patient-centred outcomes of guided surgery, a 1-year follow-up: An rct comparing guided surgery with conventional implant placement. *J Clin Periodontol* 2014;41(12):1154-60. [Abstract in PubMed](#)

To read more Scientific Reviews please see: www.dentsplyimplants.com/science