

# Friadent® plus surface— 3-D topography promoting bone formation

## What is the plus surface?

The Friadent plus surface is manufactured using a staged subtracting technique including grit blasting and thermal acid etching of the pure titanium (Grade 2) dental implant. The result is a surface with a unique needle-like micro- and nano topography<sup>1-3</sup>. The hydrophobic<sup>4, 5</sup> surface promotes cell proliferation<sup>1</sup>, differentiation<sup>6</sup>, matrix mineralization<sup>7</sup>, osteoblast spreading<sup>8</sup> and other important biological processes related to tissue response.

The Friadent plus surface was introduced in 2003 on Xive implants and 2005 on Ankylos implants.

## Extensive documentation

The published literature shows that the plus surface has positive effect on osteocyte density and bone formation at immediately loaded Ankylos implants<sup>9</sup>. Also, high bone to implant contact<sup>10-15</sup>, maintained osseointegration and continuous bone remodeling<sup>16</sup> has been histologically documented.

Further documented topics for the plus surface:

- Chemical/elemental<sup>1, 17</sup>, wetting<sup>4, 5, 18</sup> and surface topographic<sup>2, 19-25</sup> features
- In vitro<sup>18, 26-28</sup> and in vivo<sup>21, 29-53</sup> biological evaluation, ISQ stability data<sup>54</sup>
- Human biopsy/histological data<sup>9-14, 16, 55-59</sup>

## Conclusion

The pre-clinical documentation on the Friadent plus surface is extensive and shows that this implant surface is safe, biocompatible and osteoconductive.

For more information on Friadent plus implant in clinical use,  
please refer to [implants.dentsplysirona.com/ankylos](http://implants.dentsplysirona.com/ankylos)

## References

1. Conserva E, Lanuti A, Menini M. Cell behavior related to implant surfaces with different microstructure and chemical composition: an *in vitro* analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2010;25(6):1099-107. [Abstract](#)
2. Galli S, Jimbo R, Andersson M, Bryington M, Albrektsson T. Surface characterization and clinical review of two commercially available implants. *Implant Dent* 2013;22(5):507-18. [Abstract](#)
3. Pereira KK, Alves OC, Novaes AB, Jr., et al. Progression of osteogenic cell cultures grown on microtopographic titanium coated with calcium phosphate and functionalized with a type I collagen-derived peptide. *J Periodontol* 2013;84(8):1199-210. [Abstract](#)
4. Rupp F, Scheideler L, Eichler M, Geis-Gerstorfer J. Wetting behavior of dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2011;26(6):1256-66. [Abstract](#)
5. Rupp F, Scheideler L, Rehbein D, Axmann D, Geis-Gerstorfer J. Roughness induced dynamic changes of wettability of acid etched titanium implant modifications. *Biomaterials* 2004;25(7-8):1429-38. [Abstract](#)
6. Perrotti V, Palmieri A, Piatelli A, et al. Effect of titanium surface topographies on human bone marrow stem cells differentiation *in vitro*. *Odontology* 2013;101(2):133-9. [Abstract](#)
7. Schwartz Fo HO, Novaes AB, Jr., de Castro LM, Rosa AL, de Oliveira PT. In vitro osteogenesis on a microstructured titanium surface with additional submicron-scale topography. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2007;18(3):333-44. [Abstract](#)
8. Sammons RL, Lumbikanaoka N, Gross M, Cantzler P. Comparison of osteoblast spreading on microstructured dental implant surfaces and cell behaviour in an explant model of osseointegration. A scanning electron microscopic study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2005;16(6):657-66. [Abstract](#)
9. Barros RR, Degidi M, Novaes AB, et al. Osteocyte density in the peri-implant bone of immediately loaded and submerged dental implants. *J Periodontol* 2009;80(3):499-504. [Abstract](#)
10. Degidi M, Perrotti V, Piatelli A, Iezzi G. Mineralized bone-implant contact and implant stability quotient in 16 human implants retrieved after early healing periods: a histologic and histomorphometric evaluation. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2010;25(1):45-8. [Abstract](#)
11. Degidi M, Perrotti V, Strocchi R, Piatelli A, Iezzi G. Is insertion torque correlated to bone-implant contact percentage in the early healing period? A histological and histomorphometrical evaluation of 17 human-retrieved dental implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20(8):778-81. [Abstract](#)
12. Degidi M, Piatelli A, Shibli JA, Perrotti V, Iezzi G. Bone formation around immediately loaded and submerged dental implants with a modified sandblasted and acid-etched surface after 4 and 8 weeks: a human histologic and histomorphometric analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2009;24(5):896-901. [Abstract](#)
13. Degidi M, Piatelli A, Shibli JA, Perrotti V, Iezzi G. Bone formation around one-stage implants with a modified sandblasted and acid-etched surface: human histologic results at 4 weeks. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2009;29(6):607-13. [Abstract](#)
14. Degidi M, Scarano A, Iezzi G, Piatelli A. Histologic analysis of an immediately loaded implant retrieved after 2 months. *J Oral Implantol* 2005;31(5):247-54. [Abstract](#)
15. Iezzi G, Degidi M, Piatelli A, Shibli JA, Perrotti V. A histological and histomorphometrical evaluation of retrieved human implants with a wettable, highly hydrophilic, hierarchically microstructured surface: a retrospective analysis of 14 implants. *Implant Dent* 2013;22(2):138-42. [Abstract](#)
16. Iezzi G, Degidi M, Shibli JA, et al. Bone response to dental implants after a 3- to 10-year loading period: a histologic and histomorphometric report of four cases. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2013;33(6):755-61. [Abstract](#)
17. Szmukler-Moncler S, Bischof M, Nedir R, Errmrich M. Titanium hydride and hydrogen concentration in acid-etched commercially pure titanium and titanium alloy implants: a comparative analysis of five implant systems. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;21(9):944-50. [Abstract](#)
18. Traini T, Caputi S, Gherlone E, Degidi M, Piatelli A. Fibrin clot extension on zirconia surface for dental implants: a quantitative *in vitro* study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;16(5):718-27. [Abstract](#)
19. Arvidsson A, Sater BA, Wennerberg A. The role of functional parameters for topographical characterization of bone-anched implants. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2006;8(2):70-6. [Abstract](#)
20. Di Iorio D, Traini T, Degidi M, et al. Quantitative evaluation of the fibrin clot extension on different implant surfaces: an *in vitro* study. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 2005;74(1):636-42. [Abstract](#)
21. Park JW, Kim HK, Kim YJ, An CH, Hanawa T. Enhanced osteoconductivity of micro-structured titanium implants (XIVE S CELLplus) by addition of surface calcium chemistry: a histomorphometric study in the rabbit femur. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20(7):684-90. [Abstract](#)
22. Schmäge P, Thielemann J, Nergiz I, Scorzillo TM, Pfeiffer P. Effects of 10 cleaning instruments on four different implant surfaces. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2012;27(2):308-17. [Abstract](#)
23. Deppe H, Wolff C, Bauer F, et al. Dental implant surfaces after insertion in bone: an *in vitro* study in four commercial implant systems. *Clin Oral Investig* 2018;22(3):1593-600. [Abstract](#)
24. Perrotti V, Aprile G, Degidi M, Piatelli A, Iezzi G. Fractal analysis: a novel method to assess roughness organization of implant surface topography. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2011;31(6):633-9. [Abstract](#)
25. Schicho K, Kastner J, Klingesberger R, et al. Surface area analysis of dental implants using micro-computed tomography. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2007;18(4):459-64. [Abstract](#)
26. Dhaliwal JS, Marulanda J, Li J, et al. In vitro comparison of two titanium dental implant surface treatments: 3MESPE MDls versus Ankylos(R). *Int J Implant Dent* 2017;3(1):27. [Abstract](#)
27. Leja C, Geminiani A, Caton J, Romanos GE. Thermodynamic effects of laser irradiation of implants placed in bone: an *in vitro* study. *Lasers Med Sci* 2013;28(6):1435-40. [Abstract](#)
28. Stavroulakis A, Britz C, Chen HY, et al. Dental implant surface treatments may modulate cytokine secretion in Porphyromonas gingivalis-stimulated human gingival fibroblasts: a comparative study. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2015;103(3):1131-40. [Abstract](#)
29. Dhaliwal JS, Albuquerque RF, Jr., Murshed M, Feine JS. Osseointegration of standard and mini dental implants: a histomorphometric comparison. *Int J Implant Dent* 2017;3(1):15. [Abstract](#)
30. Duyck J, Slaets E, Sasaguri K, Vandamme K, Naert I. Effect of intermittent loading and surface roughness on peri-implant bone formation in a bone chamber model. *J Clin Periodontol* 2007;34(11):998-1006. [Abstract](#)
31. Fenner M, Vairaktaris E, Fischer K, et al. Influence of residual alveolar bone height on implant stability in the maxilla: a pilot study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20(6):555-9. [Abstract](#)
32. Fenner M, Vairaktaris E, Stockmann P, et al. Influence of residual alveolar bone height on implant stability in the maxilla: an experimental animal study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20(8):751-5. [Abstract](#)
33. Fetner M, Fetner A, Koutouzis T, et al. The effects of subcrestal implant placement on crestal bone levels and bone-to-abutment contact: a microcomputed tomographic and histologic study in dogs. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2015;30(5):1068-75. [Abstract](#)
34. Finelle G, Papadimitriou DE, Souza AB, et al. Peri-implant soft tissue and marginal bone adaptation on implant with non-matching healing abutments: micro-CT analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2015;26(4):e42-6. [Abstract](#)
35. Haga-Tsujimura M, Nakahara K, Kobayashi E, et al. Single-staged implant placement using bone ring technique with and without membrane placement: An experimental study in the Beagle dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2017;29(3):263-76. [Abstract](#)
36. Jakse N, Payer M, Tangl S, et al. Influence of low-level laser treatment on bone regeneration and osseointegration of dental implants following sinus augmentation: An experimental study on sheep. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2007;18(4):517-24. [Abstract](#)
37. Kim S, Jung UW, Lee YK, Choi SH. Effects of biphasic calcium phosphate bone substitute on circumferential bone defects around dental implants in dogs. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2011;26(2):265-73. [Abstract](#)
38. Kim S, Jung UW, Lee Y-K, Choi S-H. The effect of calcium phosphate bone substitute on defect resolution around a rough-surfaced dental implants in dogs. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 2011;99B(1):21-26. [Abstract](#)
39. Lutz R, Prechtl C, Nonhoff J, et al. Biofunctionalization of the implant surface with different concentrations of a synthetic peptide (P-15). *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2013;24(7):781-6. [Abstract](#)
40. Neugebauer J, Iezzi G, Perrotti V, et al. Experimental immediate loading of dental implants in conjunction with grafting procedures. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 2009;91(2):604-12. [Abstract](#)
41. Neugebauer J, Traini T, Thams U, Piatelli A, Zoller JE. Peri-implant bone organization under immediate loading state. Circularly polarized light analyses: a minipig study. *J Periodontol* 2006;77(2):152-60. [Abstract](#)
42. Neugebauer J, Weinlander M, Lekovic V, von Berg KH, Zoeller JE. Mechanical stability of immediately loaded implants with various surfaces and designs: a pilot study in dogs. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2009;24(6):1083-92. [Abstract](#)
43. Nonhoff J, Moest T, Schmitt CM, et al. Establishment of a new pull-out strength testing method to quantify early osseointegration—an experimental pilot study. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2015;43(10):1966-73. [Abstract](#)
44. Novaes AB, Jr., de Oliveira RR, Taba Junior M, et al. Crestal bone loss minimized when following the crestal preparation protocol: a histomorphometric study in dogs. *J Oral Implantol* 2005;31(6):276-82. [Abstract](#)
45. Novaes AB, Jr., Papalexiou V, Grisi MF, et al. Influence of implant microstructure on the osseointegration of immediate implants placed in periodontally infected sites. A histomorphometric study in dogs. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2004;15(1):34-43. [Abstract](#)
46. Papalexiou V, Novaes AB, Jr., Grisi MF, et al. Influence of implant microstructure on the dynamics of bone healing around immediate implants placed into periodontally infected sites. A confocal laser scanning microscopic study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2004;15(1):44-53. [Abstract](#)
47. Schmitt CM, Koeppl M, Moest T, et al. In vivo evaluation of biofunctionalized implant surfaces with a synthetic peptide (P-15) and its impact on osseointegration. A preclinical animal study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2016;27(11):1339-48. [Abstract](#)
48. Streckbein P, Kleis W, Buch RS, Hansen T, Weibrich G. Bone healing with or without platelet-rich plasma around four different dental implant surfaces in beagle dogs. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;16(4):479-86. [Abstract](#)
49. Traini T, Neugebauer J, Thams U, et al. Peri-implant bone organization under immediate loading conditions: collagen fiber orientation and mineral density analyses in the minipig model. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2009;11(1):41-51. [Abstract](#)
50. Traini T, Novaes AB, Jr., Papalexiou V, Piatelli A. Influence of interimplant distance on bone microstructure: a histomorphometric study in dogs. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2008;10(1):1-10. [Abstract](#)
51. Traini T, Novaes AB, Piatelli A, Papalexiou V, Muglia VA. The relationship between interimplant distances and vascularization of the interimplant bone. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2010;21(8):822-9. [Abstract](#)
52. Valles C, Rodriguez-Ciurana X, Munoz F, et al. Influence of implant neck surface and placement depth on crestal bone changes and soft tissue dimensions around platform-switched implants: A histologic study in dogs. *J Clin Periodontol* 2018;E10:Mar, doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12887. [Abstract](#)
53. Valles C, Rodriguez-Ciurana X, Nart J, et al. Influence of Implant Neck Surface and Placement Depth on Crestal Bone Changes Around Platform-Switched Implants: A Clinical and Radiographic Study in Dogs. *J Periodontol* 2017;88(11):1200-10. [Abstract](#)
54. Dhaliwal JS, Albuquerque RF, Jr., Fakhry A, Kaur S, Feine JS. Customized smartpeg for measurement of resonance frequency of mini dental implants. *Int J Implant Dent* 2017;3(1):4. [Abstract](#)
55. Degidi M, Perrotti V, Shibli JA, et al. Equicrestal and subcrestal dental implants: a histologic and histomorphometric evaluation of nine retrieved human implants. *J Periodontol* 2011;82(5):708-15. [Abstract](#)
56. Iezzi G, Degidi M, Scarano A, Perrotti V, Piatelli A. Bone response to submerged, unloaded implants inserted in poor bone sites: a histological and histomorphometric study of 8 titanium implants retrieved from man. *J Oral Implantol* 2005;31(5):225-33. [Abstract](#)
57. Kohal RJ, Straub LM, Wolkeiwitz M, Bachle M, Patzel SB. Evaluation of guided bone regeneration around oral implants over different healing times using two different bovine bone materials: A randomized, controlled clinical and histological investigation. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2014;E-pub May 13, doi: 10.1111/cid.12206. [Abstract](#)
58. Kohal RJ, Straub LM, Wolkeiwitz M, Bachle M, Patzel SB. Evaluation of guided bone regeneration around oral implants over different healing times using two different bovine bone materials: a randomized, controlled clinical and histological investigation. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2015;17(5):957-71. [Abstract](#)
59. Traini T, Degidi M, Iezzi G, Artese L, Piatelli A. Comparative evaluation of the peri-implant bone tissue mineral density around unloaded titanium dental implants. *J Dent* 2007;35(1):84-92. [Abstract](#)

To read more Scientific Reviews please see: [www.dentsplysirona.com/implants/science](http://www.dentsplysirona.com/implants/science)

THE DENTAL  
SOLUTIONS  
COMPANY™

Dentsply  
Sirona